

www.elsevier.nl/locate/jorganchem

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 584 (1999) 11-15



Octakis-(dimethylphosphanoethyl)-octasilsesquioxane-synthesis, characterization and reactivity

Sabine Lücke *, Karl Stoppek-Langner, Joachim Kuchinke, Bernt Krebs

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität, Wilhelm-Klemm-Strasse 8, D-48149 Münster, Germany

Received 23 November 1998

Abstract

The addition of HPMe₂ to the vinyl-T₈ silsesquioxane quantitatively yields the novel octakis-(dimethylphosphanoethyl)-octasilsesquioxane (1) which can easily be converted into the thio-derivative $(Me_2P(S)CH_2CH_2)_8Si_8O_{12}$ (2) via mild oxidation with sulfur in CS₂. Reaction of 1 with transition metal complexes such as W(CO)₅THF, CpMn(CO)₃ or Cp*Rh(CO)₂ offers an interesting synthetic access to supramolecular organometallic compounds. © 1999 Published by Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Silsesquioxanes; Multidentate phosphane ligands; Transition metal complexes

1. Introduction

Fully condensed polyhedral silsesquioxanes (RSi-O_{1.5})_n (n = even integer ≥ 6) are a highly interesting class of organosilicon compounds. Their unique polyhedral Si–O framework makes them an attractive model system to mimic the surface properties of silicas [1], alumosilicates [2] or SiO₂-supported heterogeneous catalysts [3]. Besides these applications, silsesquioxanes are highly used as molecular building blocks in material science, opening new synthetic pathways to ceramics or liquid crystalline supramolecular compounds [4].

Much attention has recently been paid to the synthesis of functional octasilsesquioxanes such as $(XCH_2CH_2CH_2)_8Si_8O_{12}$ (X = Cl, Br, I) which allow easy conversion into a variety of derivatives via nucleophilic substitution [5]. Our current studies on polyhedral silsesquioxanes aim at the preparation and use of phosphane-substituted octasilsesquioxanes of the general type $(R_2P(CH_2)_n)_8Si_8O_{12}$. Molecular compounds of this type are promising precursors to organometallic gels [6], branched dendritic macromolecules [7] as well as classical ligands in transition metal complex chemistry. Here we report on the preparation of the novel octasilsesquioxane (Me₂PCH₂CH₂)₈Si₈O₁₂ (1) and its reaction with sulfur and transition metal complexes.

The first experimental step is the formation of the known vinyl-T₈ (CH₂=CH)₈Si₈O₁₂ utilizing a modified hydrolytic polycondensation first published by Agaskar [8]. The FeCl₃-catalyzed reaction of vinyltrichlorosilane in a biphasic solvent system affords the cubic silsesquioxane product in the form of a white powder, easily soluble in pentane. The X-ray structure has been reported elsewhere [9]. Reaction of the octavinyl-silsesquioxane with dimethylphosphane quantitatively leads to the octakis-(dimethylphosphanoethyl)-octasilsesquioxane (1) in the form of a white microcrystalline powder (Fig. 1).

Although the novel silsesquioxane 1 is extremely sensitive to oxygen, crystals suited for X-ray structural analysis can be obtained via recrystallization from pentane. The crystal data given in Tables 1 and 2 clearly indicate the presence of eight $CH_2CH_2PMe_2$ groups attached to an intact Si_8O_{12} core (Fig. 2).

In contrast to octasilsequioxane molecules reported in the literature [10], all Si–O bond lengths are in the rather narrow range of 1.594 ± 0.005 Å, suggesting that the distortion of the Si₈O₁₂ cage which is generally observed for T₈-silsesquioxanes is negligible in this new phosphano-derivative. Nonetheless, the X-ray data of **1** also indicate crystal disorder in the Me₂PCH₂CH₂ groups attached to Si(4). However, the quantitative formation of **1** is doubtless from the NMR spectroscopic studies. Most importantly, the ³¹P-NMR spec-

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +49-251-833-3152; fax: +49-251-833-6098.



Fig. 1.

trum exhibits a sharp single resonance at $\delta_P = -45.5$ ppm, very specific for the dimethylphosphano group [11]. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum of the product shows

Table 1

Crystal data and structure refinement for octakis-(dimethylphosphinoethyl)-octasilsesquioxane (1)

| Empirical formula | $C_{32}H_{80}P_8Si_8O_{12}$ |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Formula weight (g mol^{-1}) | 1029.44 |
| Temperature (K) | 213(2) |
| Wavelength (Å) | 0.71073 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Unit cell dimensions | |
| a (Å) | 12.439(3) |
| b (Å) | 12.269(3) |
| c (Å) | 19.108(4) |
| α (°) | 90 |
| β (°) | 96.97(3) |
| γ (°) | 90 |
| $V(Å^3)$ | 2894.6(10) |
| Z | 2 |
| $D_{\text{calc.}}$ (Mg m ⁻³) | 1.296 |
| Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹) | 0.454 |
| F(000) | 1200 |
| Theta range for data collection (°) | 5.44-26.00 |
| Index ranges | $-15 \le h \le 15, -15 \le k \le 15,$ |
| | $-23 \le l \le 23$ |
| Reflections collected | 22 463 |
| Independent reflections | 5595 $[R_{int} = 0.1188]$ |
| Completeness to $\theta = 26.00^{\circ}$ (%) | 98.3 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on F^2 |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 5595/0/283 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F^2 | 1.045 |
| Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ | $R_1 = 0.0569, wR_2 = 0.1510$ |
| R indices (all data) | $R_1 = 0.0708, wR_2 = 0.1659$ |
| Largest difference peak and hole (e ${\rm \AA}^{-3})$ | 0.761 and -0.534 |

Table 2

Bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for octakis-(dimethylphosphinoethyl)-octasilsesquioxane (1) a

| Bond lengths | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Si(1)-O(5) | 1.588(2) | Si(1)-O(4) | 1.591(2) |
| Si(1)-O(1) | 1.597(2) | Si(1)–C(11) | 1.810(3) |
| Si(2)–O(1) | 1.594(2) | Si(2)-O(2) | 1.591(2) |
| Si(2)-O(6) | 1.595(2) | Si(2)-C(21) | 1.821(3) |
| Si(3)–O(2) | 1.595(2) | Si(3)-O(3) | 1.593(2) |
| Si(3)-O(5) # 1 | 1.595(2) | Si(3)-C(31) | 1.814(3) |
| Si(4)-O(3) | 1.598(2) | Si(4)–O(4) | 1.595(2) |
| Si(4)-O(6) # 1 | 1.598(2) | Si(4)-C(412) | 1.81(2) |
| Si(4)-C(411) | 1.822(8) | Si(3) # 1-O(5) | 1.595(2) |
| Si(4) # 1–O(6) | 1.598(2) | | |
| P(1)–C(12) | 1.800(5) | P(41)-C(441) | 1.828(11) |
| C(412)-C(422) | 1.49(3) | P(42)-C(422) | 1.87(2) |
| P(42)-C(432) | 1.66(3) | P(42)-C(442) | 1.79(5) |
| David ministra | | | |
| Bona angles $O(5)$ $S(1)$ $O(4)$ | 100 47(14) | $O(5) = S^{2}(1) = O(1)$ | 100 5((14) |
| O(3) - Si(1) - O(4) O(4) - Si(1) - O(1) | 108.4/(14) | O(5) - Si(1) - O(1) | 109.30(14) |
| O(4) - SI(1) - O(1) O(4) - Si(1) - O(1) | 109.12(13) | O(3) - SI(1) - C(11) | 100.08(15) 100.56(15) |
| O(4) = SI(1) = O(11) Si(2) = O(1) = Si(1) | 115.5/(10) 145.44(17) | O(1) - SI(1) - C(11) O(2) - Si(2) - O(1) | 109.30(13) 100.70(12) |
| SI(2) = O(1) = SI(1) O(2) = Si(2) = O(1) | 143.44(17) | O(2) - SI(2) - O(1) O(1) - Si(2) - O(1) | 109.70(13) 100.21(12) |
| O(2) - Si(2) - O(0) O(2) - Si(2) - O(0) | 108.88(14) | O(1) - Si(2) - O(0) O(1) - Si(2) - O(0) | 109.31(13) 100.02(15) |
| O(2) - Si(2) - C(21) | 109.10(14) | O(1) - SI(2) - C(21) | 109.03(13) |
| O(6) - Si(2) - C(21) | 110.81(15) | $S_1(2) = O(2) = S_1(3)$ | 149./8(10) |
| O(3)-Si(3)-O(2) | 109.25(13) | $O(3) - Si(3) - O(5) \neq 1$ | 108.98(13) |
| O(2)-Si(3)-O(5) # 1 | 108./1(13) | O(3) - Si(3) - C(31) | 111.42(14) |
| O(2)-Si(3)-C(31) | 109.79(15) | O(5) # 1 - Si(3) - C(31) | 108.64(15) |
| $S_1(3) = O(3) = S_1(4)$ | 145.73(15) | O(4) - Si(4) - O(6) # 1 | 109.68(14) |
| O(4) - Si(4) - O(3) | 109.24(14) | O(6) # 1-Si(4)-O(3) | 109.35(14) |
| $O(4) - S_1(4) - C(412)$ | 108.5(8) | O(6) # 1-Si(4)-C(412) | 104.3(8) |
| O(3)-Si(4)-C(412) | 115.6(8) | O(4) - Si(4) - C(411) | 109.8(3) |
| O(6) # 1-Si(4)-C(411) | 111.2(3) | O(3)-Si(4)-C(411) | 107.5(3) |
| Si(1) - O(4) - Si(4) | 149.63(17) | $Si(1) - O(5) - Si(3) \neq 1$ | 152.37(17) |
| $Si(2) - O(6) - Si(4) \neq 1$ | 150.83(17) | | |

^a Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms # 1: -x+2, -y-1, -z+2.

characteristic resonances as well as typical coupling constants for the Me₂PCH₂CH₂ moiety ($\delta_{\rm C} = 7.1$ ppm $({}^{1}J_{PC} = 9.7 \text{ Hz}; \text{ Me}_{2}\text{PCH}_{2}\text{CH}_{2}), 13.5 \text{ ppm} ({}^{2}J_{PC} = 13.5 \text{ ppm})$ $({}^{1}J_{\rm PC} = 10.7$ Hz; Hz; $P(CH_{3})_{2});$ 24.1 ppm CH₂CH₂PMe₂)). Furthermore, the ¹H-NMR spectrum underlines the presence eight equivalent of Me₂PCH₂CH₂ groups with the Me₂P doublet resonance at $\delta_{\rm H} = 1$ ppm and a coupling constant of 1.2 Hz.

The UV-inserted addition of Me₂PH was also carried out with the corresponding allyl-T₈ obtained via hydrolytic polycondensation of CH₂=CH-CH₂SiCl₃ following the Agaskar method. In accordance with the vinyl-derivative, this novel octakis(dimethylphosphanopropyl)-octasilsesquioxane shows one single resonance in the ³¹P-NMR spectrum ($\delta_P = -45.6$ ppm). Although the formation of the (Me₂PCH₂-CH₂CH₂)₈Si₈O₁₂ is doubtless from the ¹H-, ¹³C- and ²⁹Si-NMR data, crystals suited for X-ray analysis have not been obtained so far.

In order to obtain a more stable derivative of 1, we synthesized the corresponding thiophosphano cage 2





(Fig. 3). Reaction of **1** with an excess of sulfur in CS₂ proceeds rapidly and quantitatively yields a red microcrystalline precipitate. The complete oxidation of the Me₂P- to Me₂P(S)-groups is evident from the NMR data obtained for compound **2**: The ³¹P{¹H}-NMR spectrum exhibits a single resonance at $\delta_P = +40.9$ ppm, whereas the ¹H-NMR spectrum shows a doublet resonance at 1.7 ppm with a spin-spin coupling of 12.7 Hz, typical for compounds of the type Me₂P(S)R [12]. Despite the fact that the thio-derivative **2** is significantly more stable towards oxidation, no crystals suitable for X-ray structural analysis could yet be obtained.

In order to study the ligand properties of the Me_2P groups in 1, a series of reactions with different transition metal-carbonyl complexes was carried out (Fig. 4), leading to microcrystalline solids.

Me₂I

Me₂F

Me₂F

The exclusive formation of the octakis-[(L_mM)dimethylphophanoethyl]-octasilsesquioxanes $[L_m M =$ $(CO)_5W$ (3a), $Cp(CO)_2Mn$ (3b), Cp(CO)Co (3c), $Cp^*(CO)Rh$ (3d)] 3a-3d is doubtless from the NMR spectroscopic investigation. In the case of the tungstenpentacarbonyl complex, the only detectable ³¹P resonance at $\delta_{\rm P} = -19.9$ ppm is accompanied by characteristic tungsten satellites with a coupling constant of ${}^{1}J_{WP} = 250$ Hz. The ${}^{1}H$ -NMR spectrum exhibits a resonance for the P(CH₃)₂ group at $\delta_{\rm H} = 1.2$ ppm, the coupling ${}^{2}J_{\rm PH}$ constant here is about 5.5 Hz. In the case of the ¹³C-NMR ($\delta_{\rm C} = 17.3$ ppm (Me₂PCH₂CH₂), 25.6 ppm (P(CH₃)₂); 29.7 ppm (Me₂PCH₂CH₂)), the spin-spin coupling produces a very complex spectrum which will not be explained here in detail. The manganese compound 3b similarly shows a single ³¹P resonance at $\delta_{\rm P} = +61.4$ ppm and the cobalt compound **3c** at $\delta_{\rm P} = 37.7$ ppm whereas for the corresponding rhodium complex 3c a typical doublet is observed ($\delta_{\rm P} = 14.6$ ppm) showing a ${}^{1}J_{\rm RhP}$ coupling of 192.3 Hz.

In addition to NMR spectroscopic studies, IR analysis was used to follow the progress of the reaction by monitoring the characteristic v(C=O) vibrational modes. In the case of the silsesquioxane **3a**, three distinct absorption bands for the eight equivalent W(CO)₅ fragments were observable (local symmetry C_{4v} , vibrational modes 2 A₁ + E).

2. Conclusions

UV-activated addition of HPMe₂ to the C=C double bonds of the vinyl-T₈ and allyl-T₈ cages represents a smooth and powerful route for the synthetic preparation of phosphane-substituted octasilsesquioxanes. Due to the high reactivity of the Me₂P group, the mild oxidation with sulfur as well as the reactions with







transition metal carbonyls such as $W(CO)_5$ THF, CpMn(CO)₃, CpCo(CO)₂ and Cp*Rh(CO)₂ quantitatively lead to new functional silsesquioxanes of high practical interest. Particularly in this respect, their application in organometallic gels as catalysts is quite promising. Future work in this area, therefore, has to address the introduction of other phosphanes R₂PH, the reaction with other transition metal fragments as well as investigation of the catalytic activity of these novel octasilsesquioxanes.

3. Experimental

All reactions were performed under dry argon using standard Schlenk techniques. Solvents were freshly distilled under argon from the usual drying agents prior to use. ¹H-, ¹³C- and ³¹P- chemical shifts are recorded in CDCl₃.

3.1. Synthesis of 1

A 633.8 mg (1 mmol) mass of (CH=CH₂)₈Si₈O₁₂ was dissolved in pentane (50 ml) and 0.56 g (9 mmol) of Me₂PH was condensed into the flask. The educts were irradiated by a UV-lamp for 3 days. Pentane and unreacted Me₂PH were removed in vacuo. The final product **1** was obtained as a white powder (1.13 g; 100%). NMR: $\delta_{\rm H} = 0.6-0.9$ ppm (m, br, 2H, Me₂PCH₂CH₂), 1.0 ppm (d, ²J_{PH} = 1.2 Hz, 6H,

P(C<u>H</u>₃)₂), 1.2–1.4 ppm (m, br., 2H, Me₂PC<u>H</u>₂CH₂), $\delta_{\rm C} = 7.1$ ppm (²J_{PC} = 9.7 Hz; Me₂PCH₂<u>C</u>H₂), 13.5 ppm (¹J_{PC} = 13.5 Hz; P(<u>C</u>H₃)₂); 24.1 ppm (¹J_{PC} = 10.7 Hz; Me₂P<u>C</u>H₂CH₂); $\delta_{\rm P} = -45.5$ ppm.

3.2. Synthesis of 2

Solid 1 (300 mg; 0.26 mmol) was added whilst stirring to a solution of sulfur (67 mg; 2.1 mmol) in 20 ml CS₂. An immediate color change from white to pale-red was observed and the solution was stirred for a further 5 min. The silsesquioxane **2** was obtained as a pale-red powder (360 mg, 100%). NMR: $\delta_{\rm H} = 1.7$ ppm (d, ${}^{2}J_{\rm PH} = 12.7$ Hz; P(CH₃)₂), $\delta_{\rm C} = 3.7$ ppm (Me₂PCH₂CH₂), 20.0 ppm (${}^{1}J_{\rm PC} = 54$ Hz; P(CH₃)₂); 28.3 ppm (${}^{1}J_{\rm PC} = 55$ Hz; Me₂PCH₂CH₂); $\delta_{\rm P} = 40.9$ ppm.

3.3. Synthesis of 3a

Solid 1 (255 mg, 0.23 mmol) was dissolved in pentane and then added to a solution of 705 mg (1.8 mmol) W(CO)₅THF in THF using an oxygen-free Schlenk line. The tungsten–THF complex was generated from the tungsten hexacarbonyl W(CO)₆ and THF in a falling film photo reactor. The reagents were irradiated by a UV-lamp for two days before the unreacted educts were removed. The crude product (**3**) was obtained as a white to pale yellow microcrystalline material, soluble in CDCl₃. NMR: 1.2 ppm (²J_{PH} = 5.5 Hz; P(C<u>H</u>₃)₂); $\delta_{\rm P} = -19.9 \text{ ppm} ({}^{1}J_{\rm WP} = 250 \text{ Hz}); \ \delta_{\rm C} = 17.3 \text{ ppm} ({\rm Me}_2 {\rm PCH}_2 {\rm \underline{CH}}_2), \ 25.6 \text{ ppm} ({\rm P}({\rm \underline{CH}}_3)_2); \ 29.7 \text{ ppm} ({\rm Me}_2 {\rm P}{\rm \underline{CH}}_2 {\rm CH}_2).$

References

- (a) J. Feher, S.H. Phillips, J.W. Ziller, Chem. Commun. (1997) 829. (b) T.W. Humbley, T. Maschmeyer, A.F. Masters, Appl. Organomet. Chem. 6 (1992) 253.
- [2] (a) F.J. Feher, K.J. Weller, J.W. Ziller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114 (1992) 9686. (b) F.J. Feher, K.J. Weller, Organometallics 9 (1990) 2638.
- [3] (a) R. Murugavel, V. Chandrasekhar, H.W. Roesky, Acc. Chem. Res. 29 (1996) 183. (b) R. Murugavel, A. Voigt, M.G. Walawalkar, H.W. Roesky, Chem. Res. 96 (1996) 2205. (c) F.J. Feher, T.A. Budzichowski, Polyhedron 14 (1995) 3239.
- [4] (a) F.J. Feher, T.A. Budzichowski, J.K. Weller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111 (1989) 7288. (b) F.J. Feher, T.A. Budzichowski, Polyhedron 14 (1995) 3239. (c) V.W. Day, W.G. Klemperer, V.V. Mainz, D.M. Millar, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107 (1985) 8262. (d) P.A. Agaskar, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1991) 1024. (e) S.E. Yuchs, K.A. Carrado, Inorg. Chem. 35 (1996) 261. (f) G.H. Mehl, J.W. Goodby, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 35 (1996) 2641. (g) M. Moràn, C.M. Casado, I. Cuadrado, J. Losado, Organometallics 12 (1993) 4327.

- [5] (a) S. Lücke, K. Stoppek-Langner, B. Krebs, M. Läge, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 623 (1997) 1243. (b) U. Dittmar, B.J. Hendan, U. Flörke, H.C. Marsmann, J. Organomet. Chem. 489 (1995) 185.
- [6] F.J. Feher, J.J. Schwab, S.H. Phillips, A. Eklund, E. Martinez, Organometallics 14 (1995) 4452.
- [7] B. Hong, T.P.S. Thoms, H.J. Murfee, M.J. Lebrun, Inorg. Chem. 36 (1997) 6146.
- [8] P.A. Agaskar, Inorg. Chem. 30 (1991) 2707.
- [9] M.G. Voronkov, T.N. Martynova, R.G. Mirskov, V.I. Belyl, Zh. Obshch. Khim. 49 (1979) 1522.
- [10] (a) K. Larsson, Ark. Kemi. 16 (1960) 203. (b) V.E. Shklover, Yu. T. Struchkov, N.N. Makarova, K.A. Andrianov, Zh. Strukt. Khim. 19 (1977) 1107. (c) I.A. Baidina, N.V. Podberezskaya, V.I. Alekseev, S.V. Borisov, T.N. Martynova, Zh. Strukt. Khim. 20 (1979) 648. (d) N.V. Podberezskaya, I.A. Baidina, V.I. Alekseev, S.V. Borisov, T.N. Martynova, Zh. Strukt. Khim. 22 (1981) 116. (e) N.V. Podberezskaya, S.A. Margill, I.A. Baidina, S.V. Borisov, L.É. Gorsh, A.N. Kanev, T.N. Martynova, Zh. Strukt. Khim. 23 (1982) 120. (f) V.W. Day, W.G. Klemperer, V.V. Mainz, D.M. Millar, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107 (1985) 8262. (g) F.J. Feher, T.A. Budzichowski, J. Organomet. Chem. 373 (1989) 153. (h) T.P.E. Auf der Heyde, H.B. Bürgi, H. Bürgy, K.W. Törnross, Chimia 45 (1991) 38.
- [11] J. Grobe, S. Göbelbecker, D. Syndikus, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 608 (1992) 43.
- [12] (a) R. Appel, R. Moors, Angew. Chem. 98 (1986) 570. (b) R. Appel, F. Knoch, H. Kunze, Angew. Chem. 95 (1983) 1135. (c) L. Maier, Helv. Chim. Acta. 54 (1983) 252.